Climate Change Adaptation and Adaptive Environmental Management — An Integrated Process in the Design of Natural Channels

Presented by:

Ian Jewell

August 1, 2013



Introduction and Context





Presentation Outline

Define 'Adaptive Environmental Management' (the Ontario Canada application and interpretation of the '9 step' process)

Define Climate Change Adaptation (the interpretation of how adaptation of stream restoration can be applied)

Where does Climate Change factor into the 9 step process?

How does application of adaptive management respond to climate change initiatives

Can adaptive management processes be used to satisfy climate change considerations; in terms of implemented design, in terms of risk management.

Adaptive Management Approach

Based on a guide developed in 2001 in Ontario Canada to assist practitioners in restoring streams



What is Adaptive Management?

- Many interpretations are currently being used
- The understanding of the functions of a natural system and implementing a plan to deal with changes that are anticipated based on balanced objectives
- Provides a framework to consider long term natural processes in ultimate restored conditions. (allows the natural progression of regeneration and stability over time, vs. immediate restored conditions)



AEM - Adaptive Environmental Management





Geomorphology-Ecology-Engineering

The Nine steps:





Long Term Adaptive Management

- Iterative process
- Seeks to create dynamic stability in stream systems
- Requires a long term commitment, but allows for development of staged intervention





What is Climate Change?

Has many interpretations:



'Periodic modification of Earth's climate brought about as a result of changes in the atmosphere as well as interactions between the atmosphere and various other geologic, chemical, biological, and geographic factors within the Earth system' Encyclopedia Britannica

In terms of natural channel restoration:

- Extremes in rainfall and drought that affect the viability of a system.
- Some municipalities changing IDF curves for hydrological estimate

Recent studies focus on predicting increases or decreases in *risk* to elements of the community

Risk is often quantified in terms of insurance

Climate Change for Streams Hydrologic Response to Regional Storm in Markham Branch, Nodes 300-305, CN 3

Stream restoration

- Primary ۲ challenge with climate change is related to watershed conditions.
- In Ontario-• change in rainfall patterns.



Specific climate change studies have found:

- frequency at which the rain falls is changing
- **intensity** at which it falls is changing
- But overall end quantity is not changing

Application of Design Change in Toronto Example





Channel Condition

A highly urbanized stream channel in constant state of change:

Entire erosion control systems established in the 1980's have failed reach wide through changes in watershed land use and development





Climate Change Influence to Implementation Design

- Specific watershed-based climate change study completed suggests low peak storm events increasing in frequency (6mm to 10mm events)
- Fish inventories suggest fish are present, but monitoring shows spawning habitat is short lived
- Solution requires flow velocities to be halved (4.0m/s to 2.0 m/s max habitat threshold)
- Design channel cross section to convey large flow events, but maintain low flow channel
- Create pools to provide local backwater for fish habitat





AECOM

Design Approach

Challenges:

- Urban setting (75% impervious, one of the most urbanized watersheds in the Toronto area)
- Location on the watershed profile, (steep slope)
- Highly incised valley setting (minimal floodplain access)

Approach using AEM:

- Prediction of channel shape (geomorphology),
- Channel conveyance and structural stability (engineering)
- Improvement of aquatic/terrestrial resources (ecology)

Hydrological study done to identify and plan for future climate change impact on stream channel



AECOM

Solution: Convergence of objectives in AEM and Climate Change adaptation

- Reduction in flow velocity necessary for low peak events
- Use hard stabilization measures to protect critical infrastructure, **But** create enough backwater to allow fish passage and energy reduction (achieve sub-critical flow condition)
- Valley retaining structures to reduce forest loss from channel section enlargement
- In-stream structures to direct flow in new plan form and to adapt to range of flow volumes as flood levels increase
- Ensure channel stability is achieved without reliance on sediment source





What did we learn?

Climate Change

- That low peak events in an urban system can have dramatic impacts on habitat viability
- That flow velocities need to be reduced to sustain long term fishery resources and channel self-regeneration
- That design redundancy can provide risk reduction, but at a high cost







What did we learn?

Adaptive Management

- That climate change is an integral part of determining long term viability of a system
- That staged implementation is possible based on acceptance of risk identified through hydrologic variability of streams
- That Climate Change Adaptation should be intrinsically integrated with natural channel design initiatives.



Thank You

